Annex 2 Options for local plan preparation

Area of work	Option 1- Proceed under current arrangements	Option 2- Proceed under new arrangements
Date of adoption	Between January and March 2026 – subject to no	April 2027 at earliest
	unforeseen delays arising from future changes to the NPPF, or outcomes of a further Regulation 18	'Presumption in favour of sustainable development'
	consultation	for longer period (1 year longer) but changes to
	Consultation	Housing Land Supply mean not so critical
		Tiousing Land Supply mean not so childar
Duty to Cooperate	Duty to Cooperate applies- so pass/fail	Duty to Cooperate no longer applies.
		To be replaced with an 'alignment policy' however details not yet known
Timing	Ability to set own timetable and timing of stages	Timetable to be dictated- 30 months only
	Achievable deadline of 30 June 2025 for	More intensive period for production but with likely
	submission, even with an additional Regulation 18	savings in staff time and focus
	stage	Would be one of the 'frontrunners' for the new style
	Option for a pause until the outcomes of the NPPF	plans – most likely with support from government
	consultation (late Spring 2023)- however this makes	piane inter intery than eappear nem gereniment
	this option more challenging	
Content	More detailed and locally-specific matters for	More concise plan covering strategic issues and
	inclusion- strategic and non-strategic policies.	matters relating to allocation of land
	Ability to include development and according to	Connectional and Development Management and in all air a
	Ability to include development management policies- but some could be superseded by NDMPs and	Cannot include Development Management policies
	potential for abortive work	Ability to embed 'digital planning' from beginning of
	Potential for aboutive work	the process

	NPPF changes mean that can include lower housing targets than the OAN and do not need to go into the Green Belt to meet targets This would be a change in direction from Regulation 18 but also supported by the representations	NPPF changes mean that can include lower housing targets than the OAN and do not need to go into the Green Belt to meet targets The existing Regulation 18 work would unlikely have much status, however due to its high-level nature it still provides a strong indication of local opinion which could be reflected
Process	Certainty- known, tried and tested arrangements under the existing Regulations Unclear at present what the implications of the removal of the 'justified' test of soundness and other amendments would mean on the existing examination process Sustainability Appraisal (SA) applies- tried and tested	New 'gateway approach' to plan preparation applies- intended to reduce risk of unsoundness Lack of certainty or timing of new arrangements in particular detail or process around plan preparation, timetable stages and approach to examination Unclear at present what the implications of the removal of the 'justified' test of soundness with regard to new process Unclear of process or content of the Environmental Outcome Report – however intentions are for it to be more streamlined and less onerous than SA
Transitional arrangements	As our Regulation 18 local plan did not include a policies map or site allocations we do not benefit from the reduced housing land supply requirement of 4 years, opposed to 5 (see paragraph 17 of the consultation) – seen as an incentive for plans currently within the system to progress under existing arrangements	n/a

	We would not be required to begin preparing a new- style plan until the newly adopted plan is 5 years old (anticipated March 2031)	
	Transitional arrangements and timing of decisions relating to introduction of new Development Management policies could face further change or delay	
Evidence Base	Will be able to utilise the existing evidence base documents- e.g. Heritage Strategy, Level 1 SFRA	Will be able to utilise some of the existing evidence base documents- e.g. Heritage Strategy, Level 1 SFRA
	Some pieces of evidence would need revisiting if	
	housing targets were to change (e.g. Housing	Some other existing evidence base work would
	Market Delivery Study, Economic Development Needs Study) - with cost implications	become misaligned with the proposed plan period and/or out of date – costs of this already incurred
	More detailed and costly – until clarity is provided on the implications of the removal of the 'justified' test on plans in preparation now	Potential for lower evidence-base requirements with potential significant future cost savings – subject to further guidance on 'proportionate' evidence
		Opportunity to fully embrace the 'digital agenda' in the evidence base and data
Design Code	It is not realistic to be able to include design codes within the Local Plan so a Supplementary Plan (SP) would be the only option – additional costs	Options to include design coding within Local Plan or Supplementary Plan (SP with additional costs)
	associated with 'light touch' examination	Design Code characterisation work commencing late Summer 2023
	Design Code work programme around 18 months-	
	commencing mid 2026	Design code to include parking standards and layouts – in place at an earlier date (around April 2027)

Design code to include parking standards and layouts— in place at a later date (late 2027) as would not be able to resource until latter period of local plan production	